


Interview Summary

Application No.
08/794,851

Applicant(s)
Barany et al

Examiner
P. Ponnaluri

Group Art Unit
1627



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) P. Ponnaluri

(3) _____

(2) Attorney Michael Goldman

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 16, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims

Identification of prior art discussed:

US Patent 6,027,889 and a pending application 09/440,523.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Informed applicants attorney that the claims are allowable over prior art of record and possible obviousness double patenting rejections over claims of a pending application and issued patent which are related to the current application. And the examiner has also informed that the pending claim language is not clear, and addressable array is not defined. Applicants attorney has pointed to several pages in the specification, however in the claims addressable array has no clear support. Applicants attorney has been informed to clarify the language. Applicants are suggested that the claim may include that the solid support with the capture oligonucleotides is addressable array. Applicants attorney has agreed to file Terminal disclaimers to overcome the potential double patenting rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.